



CITY OF MESA, ARIZONA
ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT

REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS
FOR PROFESSIONAL SERVICES

CRISMON ROAD: ELLIOT ROAD TO GUADALUPE ROAD

City of Mesa Project No. CP1291CAP

MAG TIP No. MES 26-520D1

Federal Aid No. MES-0(241)D

ADOT TRACS No. T0729 03D

TABLE OF CONTENTS

REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS	PAGE NO.
SECTION I – PROJECT DESCRIPTION	1
SECTION II – OVERVIEW OF PROJECT PHASES	1
SECTION III – SCOPE OF WORK	2
SECTION IV – PRE-SUBMITTAL CONFERENCE	2
SECTION V – STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS EVALUATION CRITERIA	2
SECTION VI – SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS	4
SECTION VII – SELECTION PROCESS AND SCHEDULE	4
SECTION VIII – GENERAL INFORMATION	5

REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS

CRISMON ROAD: ELLIOT ROAD TO GUADALUPE ROAD

City of Mesa Project No. CP1291CAP

MAG TIP No. MES 26-520D1

Federal Aid No. MES-0(241)D

ADOT TRACS No. T0729 03D

The City of Mesa is seeking a qualified consulting firm or team to provide complete design services for the Crismon Road: Elliot Road to Guadalupe Road project.

All qualified firms that are interested in providing these services to the City of Mesa are invited to submit their Statement of Qualifications (SOQ). All SOQs must comply with the requirements specified in this Request for Qualifications (RFQ).

SECTION I – PROJECT DESCRIPTION

This is a proposed new arterial roadway segment that will connect Crismon Road between Elliot Road and Guadalupe Road. South of Guadalupe Road, Crismon Road is an existing 6-lane roadway that terminates approximately 2,400 feet to the south. North of Elliot Road, Crismon Road is an existing 3-lane roadway and terminates 2,300 feet to the north, leaving a 700-foot gap. Due to ongoing growth in the area, the need to close this gap has become a priority. It is critical to construct this roadway segment to improve north-south connectivity for the rapidly expanding developments in southeast Mesa. This project will design the necessary improvements to connect the two existing segments. Proposed improvements include a 3-lane roadway configuration with curb, gutter, pavement, detached sidewalk, landscaping, streetlighting, striping, traffic signs and drainage improvements.

City may include other miscellaneous improvements at the Site, as needed.

The City will utilize federal funds for the design and construction of this project.

The SOQs must include the following:

1. Non-Collusion Bidding Certification (See attached form)
2. AZUTRACS Proposers List Confirmation

Please submit AZUTRACS proposers list to this link: [Bidders/Proposers List](#)

SECTION II – OVERVIEW OF PROJECT PHASES

The City of Mesa seeks a qualified consulting firm, or team, with extensive experience and knowledge of MAG and City of Mesa standards and regulations, to provide complete design-phase services and possible construction-phase services for Crismon Road: Elliot Road to Guadalupe Road project.

The following is a summary list of the major tasks for a typical design contract. These will be reviewed with the selected consultant and defined to meet the needs of the project as part of the contract scoping.

Task 1 – Project Management

Task 2 – Design Reports

Task 3 – Detailed Design and Construction Documents

Task 4 – Contracting Assistance

Task 5 – Construction Phase Services

The City may also request the Consultant assist with pre-construction and construction phase services for the project, including construction observation, testing, and final acceptance services.

Regardless of the level of service ultimately needed, a consultant with successful experience in these areas is very desirable.

SECTION III – SCOPE OF WORK

For an outline of key project tasks, refer to the Engineering Submittal Guidelines at the following website:

<https://www.mesaaz.gov/business/engineering/submittal-process-guidelines>

SECTION IV – PRE-SUBMITTAL CONFERENCE

A Pre-Submittal Conference will be held on **March 18, 2026 at 11:00 am via Microsoft Teams**. If you would like to participate, please send an email to Stephanie Delgado at stephanie.delgado@mesaaz.gov to receive the invite. At this meeting, the project team, including City staff will discuss the scope of work, general contract requirements and respond to questions from the attendees. Attendance at the Pre-Submittal Conference is not mandatory. All interested firms may submit a Statement of Qualifications whether they attend the conference or not.

All interested firms are encouraged to attend the Pre-Submittal Conference since City staff will not be available for meetings or to respond to individual inquiries regarding the project outside of this Pre-Submittal conference. In addition, meeting minutes or any other information will not be posted from the Pre-Submittal Conference.

SECTION V – STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS EVALUATION CRITERIA (BASED ON 1,000 POINTS)

Each Statement of Qualifications (SOQ) will be evaluated according to the following criteria:

A. General Information (100 points)

Provide a general description of the consulting firm and/or team that is proposing to provide the services, including subconsultants, if any. Provide an organizational chart showing key personnel. For each key person, provide the following information:

1. Percentage of time that each person will be committed to the project
2. Length of time with the firm
3. Applicable professional registrations
4. A statement that the firm agrees to contract with subconsultants for potholing, geotechnical investigations, structural investigations, etc., as required by the City.

B. Experience and Qualifications of the Firm/Team and Key Personnel (350 points)

1. Provide a list of similar projects on which the project team has experience. For each reference project, please provide the following information:
 - a. Description of the project, including project name and location;
 - b. Project owner and/or client information;
 - c. Role of the firm, including a description of the services provided;
 - d. Role of each key team member who worked on the reference project and the percentage of time spent by each key team member on said project; and
 - e. Approximate dates services were provided.
2. List all City of Mesa projects where the firm/team provided services in the last five years, completed or on-going, that are not already included in the preceding sections.
3. Overall evaluation of the firm/team and its perceived ability to provide the required services will be considered along with the evaluators' perception of the clarity, completeness, and presentation of the Statement of Qualifications. This is to be determined by the selection panel members. No

submission response is required for this item. Information obtained from the Statement of Qualifications and from any other reliable source may be used in the evaluation and selection process.

C. Understanding of the Project and Approach to Performing the Required Services (350 points)

Discuss the major issues your team has identified on this project and how your firm/team intends to address those issues. Identify any technical innovations that may be incorporated and/or innovative approaches that will be used in executing the work. Also, discuss the expertise your firm/ team offers and how you propose to use that expertise to benefit the City to add value to the project.

D. Current Workload, Workload with the City of Mesa, and the Ability of Project Team to Start Immediately. (50 points)

This scoring criterion has two parts: overall workload and existing contracts with the City of Mesa, as further detailed below:

1. Overall Workload and Ability to Start Immediately (up to 25 points):

Provide a table or list that summarizes the current major assignments of all key team members, percentage of time committed to each assignment, anticipated end date of major assignments, and percentage of time to be committed to this project. Also include a statement as to when the team would be available to start work on this project. Firms that demonstrate their ability to start immediately and to effectively manage this project, in addition to their current workload, may be awarded up to 25 points for this sub-category.

2. Existing Contracts with the City of Mesa (up to 25 points):

Provide a table or list of current or pending contracts that the firm has with the City of Mesa Engineering Department. (“Pending” status refers to a project the firm has been notified they will be awarded, but the contract has not yet been executed.) Each contract need only be listed once. For example, if the firm and one or more of the proposed team/sub-firms are all employed on the same, current City of Mesa Engineering Department project or contract, that project need only be listed once and will count as one contract (although all firms, including sub-firms, working on the project should still be identified). In the case of a firm holding a Master On-Call Contract with the City of Mesa Engineering Department, each active project order under the master contract will count as a separate project. If a firm has no active project orders under the Master On-Call Contract, the Master On-Call contract will not count towards the reporting requirements of this section.

Contracts for projects within the City of Mesa’s incorporated limits held by someone other than the City of Mesa municipal government (e.g., work for the school district or a private developer) need not be listed and do not count as projects under this subcategory.

Firms, with no current or pending contracts with the City of Mesa Engineering Department, will be awarded 25 points. Firms, with between one (1) to three (3) current or pending contracts with the City of Mesa will be awarded 15 points. Firms with more than three (3) current or pending contracts will receive no points for this subcategory.

E. Reference Information (150 points)

City will award a maximum of 150 points for past performance reference information (maximum of 50 points per reference). It is the firm’s responsibility to solicit and to ensure that three (3) Past Performance Verification Forms (PPVF’s) are submitted directly to the City per the instructions on the Form. Any Forms in excess of three (3) will not be counted toward this requirement.

Statement of Qualifications scores will not carry over to interviews, if held.

SECTION VI – SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS

The Statement of Qualifications shall include a one-page cover letter that contains current company/firm contact information including a valid phone number and email address, plus a maximum of **10 pages** to address the SOQ evaluation criteria (*excluding* PPVF's and resumes but *including* an organization chart with key personnel and their affiliation). Resumes for each team member shall be limited to a maximum length of two pages and should be attached as an appendix to the SOQ. Minimum font size shall be 10pt. Please provide **one (1) electronic copy** in an unencrypted PDF format to Engineering-RFQ@mesaaz.gov by ***no later than 2 pm on April 9, 2026***. The City reserves the right to accept or reject any and all Statements of Qualifications. The City is an equal opportunity employer.

If a company wishes to submit a company brochure, this can be done under separate cover. The brochure will not be used in the selection process and may be retained in the City files.

Please be advised that failure to comply with the following criteria may be grounds for disqualification:

- Receipt of submittal by the specified deadline;
- Receipt of the Statement of Qualification in the format specified
- Adherence to maximum page requirement
- Providing a signed copy of addenda, if any, in response to this RFQ. It will be the interested firm's responsibility to check the website (see link below) for any updates, including addenda.

<http://www.mesaaz.gov/business/engineering/architectural-engineering-design-opportunities>

Adherence to the maximum page criterion is critical; each page side (maximum 8 1/2" x 11") with criteria information will be counted. Pages that have project photos, charts, and graphs will be counted towards the maximum number of pages. Table of Contents pages and divider pages will not be counted if they do not contain submittal information.

SECTION VII – SELECTION PROCESS AND SCHEDULE

A Selection Committee will evaluate each SOQ in accordance with the criteria set forth in Section V above. The Selection Committee will produce a rank-ordered list of the submitting firms and interviews may or may not be conducted as part of the selection process.

If interviews are not held, the City will enter into negotiations with the top ranked firm and execute a contract upon completion of negotiations. If the City is unsuccessful in negotiating a contract with the top ranked team, the City may then negotiate with the next lower ranked team until a contract is executed. The City may decide to terminate the selection process at any time.

If interviews are held, the top three (3) to five (5) ranked firms/teams will be invited by email to accept or decline the opportunity to interview. Those accepting the invitation will be sent a letter outlining the criteria to be addressed in the interview and the detailed scoring of the interview process. The City will enter into negotiations with the top ranked firm and execute a contract upon completion of negotiations. If the City is unsuccessful in negotiating a contract with the top ranked firm/team in the final list, the City may then negotiate with the next lower ranked team until a contract is executed. The City may decide to terminate the selection process at any time.

The following tentative schedule has been prepared for this selection process:

Pre-Submittal Conference	March 18 at 11am
SOQ's Due	April 9 by 2 pm
Shortlist Interviews	Week of June 1

By submitting an SOQ, the respondent certifies that they have reviewed the City of Mesa's standard contract for professional services, including insurance requirements, and, if selected, will execute the City's required contract without modification or exception. The City of Mesa's Professional Services Contract is available on-line at the following location:

<http://www.mesaaz.gov/business/engineering/engineering-contracts>

SECTION VIII – GENERAL INFORMATION

RFQ Lists. This Design Services Request for Qualifications will be listed on the City's web site. The address is: <http://www.mesaaz.gov/business/engineering/architectural-engineering-design-opportunities>.

Compliance with Arizona Revised Statutes (ARS). Procurement of professional services by a municipality within the State of Arizona is governed by ARS Title 34. By submitting an SOQ under this solicitation, the submitter certifies that said submittal and their conduct in relation to this solicitation is in compliance with the requirements of ARS Title 34.

Contract Version Updates. If the Contract version changes after this RFQ is issued and prior to contract negotiations, the newer version of the Contracts will be in effect. The respondent is responsible for checking the City of Mesa Engineering Department's website for updates.

Instructions. The City of Mesa shall not be held responsible for any verbal instructions. Any changes to this Request for Qualifications will be in the form of an addendum. Firms are to check the City's website for any addenda.

<http://mesaaz.gov/business/engineering/architectural-engineering-design-opportunities>

City Rights. The City of Mesa reserves the right to reject any or all Statements of Qualifications, to waive any informality or irregularity in any Statement of Qualifications received, and to be the sole judge of the merits of the respective Statements of Qualifications received. No binding contract will exist between the submitter and the City until the City executes a written contract.

Protest Policy: Refer to City of Mesa Protest Policy: Procurement Rules at <http://www.mesaaz.gov/home/showdocument?id=8712>, Article 6. Protests, Appeals, Debarments, Confidential Information, and Contract Disputes.

Contact with City Employees. All firms interested in this project (including the firm's employees, representatives, agents, lobbyists, attorneys, and subconsultants) will refrain, under penalty of disqualification, from direct or indirect contact for the purpose of influencing the selection or creating bias in the selection process with any person who may play a part in the selection process. This policy is intended to create a level playing field for all potential firms, to assure that contract decisions are made in public, and to protect the integrity of the selection process. All contact on this selection process should be addressed to the authorized representative identified below.

Questions. Questions pertaining to the Design Services selection process or contract issues should be directed to Stephanie Delgado of the Engineering Department at stephanie.delgado@mesaaz.gov.

Also, to do business with the City of Mesa, you are required to register in the City's vendor system. AMS Vendor Self Service (VSS) provides vendors with a single-entry point to register, provide payment and contact information, and select commodity interests and business types. All vendors who wish to conduct business with the City will be required to update and maintain all information used for the notification of bid opportunities and issuance of payment in the VSS system. The registration guide can be found at <http://www.mesaaz.gov/vendor/>, the actual web portal is at the bottom of the page.



PAST PERFORMANCE VERIFICATION FORM (PPVF)

Directions to Submitting Firm: Request Public/Private Agencies, for which you have substantially completed (Professional Services, CMAR or JOC) similar work, to fill out a copy of the PPVF for three (3) similar projects. Provide this form to the Agency or Agency’s representative directly responsible for oversight of the project to complete and submit via email to the City of Mesa prior to the date and time listed below. If the form is received after the date and time specified, it will not be accepted. By submitting this form, you are waiving any claim against the Agency listed for providing information regarding a project.

SOQ Due Date and Time: **April 9, 2026 by 2 pm**

PROJECT NAME: **CP1291CAP Crismon Road: Elliot Road to Guadalupe Road**

PROJECT ROLE SUBMITTING FOR: (select one) **PROFESSIONAL** **CM@R** **JOC**

NAME OF COMPANY TO BE EVALUATED: _____

NAME OF AGENCY OR FIRM SUBMITTING EVALUATION: _____

NAME/PHONE NUMBER OF PERSON SUBMITTING EVALUATION: _____

NAME OF PROJECT AND DATE SUBSTANTIALLY COMPLETED: _____

Questions to be completed by Agencies:

- 1. Has the above referenced project reached substantial completion? (select one) **Yes** **No**
- 2. What project delivery method was utilized? (select one) **DBB** **CM@R** **JOC** **DB**

What type of services did this firm provide on the project referenced?

- 3. On a scale of 1 to 10 (1 being lowest, 10 highest) rate this company’s performance on the following:

- a. Was the project completed on time? (10=Yes, 0=No) _____
- b. Was the project completed within budget? (10=Yes, 0=No) _____
- c. What was the quality of the work performed? _____
- d. Was staff proactive in solving problems that may have occurred on your project? _____
- e. Would you be willing to contract with this firm again? (10=Yes, 0 = No) _____

TOTAL POINTS _____

- 4. Any additional comments.

Please email to Engineering-RFQ@mesaaz.gov by the date and time shown above.

NON-COLLUSION CERTIFICATION

(STATE OF _____)

ss

(COUNTY OF _____)

I, _____ of the City of _____
in the County of _____ and the State of _____
of full age, being duly sworn according to the law of my oath depose and say that:

I am _____ a, _____
(Name) (Title, Position, etc.)

of the firm of _____, the Proposer making the Proposal for City of
Mesa,

Crismon Road: Elliot Road to Guadalupe Road
City Project No. CP1291
TIP No. MES26-520D1
Federal ID No. MES-0(241)D
TRACS No. T0729 03D

and that I executed the said Proposal with full authority so to do; that said Proposer has not, directly or indirectly entered into any agreement, participated in any collusion, or otherwise taken any action in restraint of free, competitive proposals in connection with the above named Project; and that all statements contained in said Proposal and in this affidavit are true and correct, and made with full knowledge that the City of Mesa relies upon the truth of the statements contained in said Proposal and in the statements contained in this affidavit in awarding the Contract for the said Project.

The undersigned certifies, by signing and submitting this proposal, to the best of his or her knowledge and belief, that:

- (1) No Federally appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid, by or on behalf of the undersigned, to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any Federal agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with the awarding of any Federal contract, the making of any Federal grant, the making of any Federal loan, the entering into any cooperative agreement, and the extension, continuation, renewal, amendment, or modification of any Federal contract grant, loan, or cooperative agreement.
- (2) If any funds other than Federally appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any Federal agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with this Federal contract, grant, loan, or cooperative agreement, the undersigned shall complete and submit Standard Form-LLL, "Disclosure Form to Report Lobbying," in accordance with its instructions.

This certification is a material representation of fact upon which reliance was placed when this transaction was made or entered into. Submission of this certification is a prerequisite for making or entering into this transaction imposed by Section 1352, Title 31, U.S. Code. Any person who fails to file the required certification shall be subject to a civil penalty of not less than \$10,000 and not more than \$100,000 for each such failure.

The proposer also agrees, by submitting his or her proposal, that he or she shall require that the language of this certification be included in the award documents of all subcontracts and lower tier subcontracts which exceed \$100,000 and that all such subcontractors and lower tier subcontractors shall certify and disclose accordingly.

The City of Mesa will keep the certifications on file as part of the original proposals. Each Proposer shall keep individual certifications from all subcontracts and lower tier subcontracts on file.

Certifications shall be retained for three years following completion and acceptance of any given project.

I further warrant that no person or selling agency has been employed or retained to solicit or secure such Contract I upon an agreement of understanding, for a commission, percentage, brokerage or contingent fee, except bona fide employees or bona fide established commercial or selling agencies maintained by:

(Signature of Proposer)

(Printed or Typed Name of Proposer)

Sworn to before me this _____ day of _____, 20__ in the County of _____, State of _____

(Notary Public)