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1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 General Background

DMB Mesa Proving Grounds, LLC (DMB) is the owner/developer of Eastmark (Site) located at the southeast corner of Elliot and Ellsworth Roads, which was formerly referred to as Mesa Proving Grounds. On November 8, 2008, the City of Mesa (City) approved among other items, a rezoning of the Site to Planned Community. As part of that request, a Community Plan was also approved that identified the vision for the development of the Site with development standards and permitting processes. As part of the approval of the Community Plan, the City also accepted the Mesa Proving Grounds Master Transportation Plan, dated September 23, 2008.

The original Master Transportation Plan in September of 2008 was comprised of nine (9) Development Units (DU) as defined in the Community Plan. In this update, there are a total of eleven (11) Development Units within the site. Development Unit Plans (DUP) are prepared and submitted to the City for review and approval prior to any development occurring within a DU. Section 5.1 of the Community Plan defines DUP Submittal Requirements. The DU Transportation Plan depicts general locations of major streets and secondary streets, proposed major intersections and secondary intersections in conformance with the Master Transportation Plan and any necessary updates. As warranted, an update or addendum to the Master Plan will be submitted when requested by the City Traffic Engineer.

The City has requested an update to the Master Transportation Plan, and this is the fourth update that has been developed. The first update was finalized in August 2014, the second in March 2016. The third was submitted in May 2017, but at the time of this submittal has not yet been approved by the City of Mesa. In this update, the development thresholds, transportation networks and other development assumptions for all development units (DU’s) have been updated. Two independent scenarios of development have been analyzed, incorporating two different levels of development in those DU’s that have the potential to redevelop after the completion of the development.

The Site location and revised DU’s are shown in Figure 1.1.
Figure 1.1   Location Map and Development Units
2.0 PROPOSED PROJECT

2.1 Preliminary Planning Concept

A preliminary framework with a proposed land use budget was developed for Eastmark as part of the Community Plan based on the nine (9) Development Units (DU). The intent was to provide a range of minimum and maximum development volumes for each DU that will be balanced amongst the DU’s as development, and ultimately, redevelopment occurs. Total build-out for Eastmark will not exceed 15,000 dwelling units and 20 million square feet of commercial development. The approved land use budget for the Site is presented in Table 2.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DEVELOPMENT UNIT</th>
<th>DWELLING UNITS</th>
<th>GFA OF NON-RESIDENTIAL</th>
<th>ACRES</th>
<th>LAND USE GROUPS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MINIMUM-MAXIMUM BUDGET</td>
<td>MINIMUM-MAXIMUM BUDGET</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DU #1</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>4,375,000</td>
<td>7,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DU #2</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>2,800</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>5,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DU #3</td>
<td>1,120</td>
<td>3,600</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DU #4</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>1,350</td>
<td>2,250,000</td>
<td>6,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DU #5</td>
<td>710</td>
<td>1,680</td>
<td>1,875,000</td>
<td>8,750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DU #6</td>
<td>890</td>
<td>3,310</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DU #7</td>
<td>1,270</td>
<td>4,060</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>375,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DU #8</td>
<td>890</td>
<td>2,810</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>350,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DU #9</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>1,250</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.2 Current Planning Concept

Several of the development unit plans (DUP’s) have been approved by the City, including DU 3s, DU 3/4, DU 5/6S, DU 6n, DU 7, and DU 8/9. The majority of the completed DU’s have been developed below the assumed mid-point thresholds of the land use budget. This Master Transportation Plan Update includes the results of the traffic studies that have been prepared for each of these DU’s. Table 2.2 provides the updated assumptions for development for both the “First Life-Cycle” scenario, and the “Full Build-Out” scenario. Comparing the values in Table 2.2 with those in Table 2.1, one will note that, though some DU’s may exceed their original dwelling unit or non-residential land use budget, the overall budgets are maintained in either the First Life-Cycle or the Full Build-Out plan.

Table 2.2  Eastmark Updated Lane Use Scenarios

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DEVELOPMENT UNIT</th>
<th>FIRST LIFE CYCLE</th>
<th></th>
<th>FULL BUILD OUT</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DWELLING UNITS</td>
<td></td>
<td>DWELLING UNITS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GFA ON NON-RESIDENTIAL (million sq. feet)</td>
<td></td>
<td>GFA ON NON-RESIDENTIAL (million sq. feet)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DU #1</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>1,511</td>
<td>10.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DU #2</td>
<td>658</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>658</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DU #3/4</td>
<td>2,057</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>2,057</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DU #3S</td>
<td>388</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>388</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DU #5/6S</td>
<td>1,223</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>4,368</td>
<td>3.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DU #6N</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.34</td>
<td>2610</td>
<td>3.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DU #7</td>
<td>1,958</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>1,958</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DU #8/9</td>
<td>1,450</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>1,450</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>8,000</td>
<td>10.97</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>20.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.3 Master Street Circulation Plan

Figure 2.2 shows the Master Street Circulation Plan for Eastmark. The current master street network is similar to the initial proposed network with a few exceptions:

- “Spine East” (now Eastmark Parkway) connects to Signal Butte Road rather than Williams Field Road. This was approved as part of DU 8/9.
- “Crismon Connection” has been replaced with “Everton Terrace”.

• A new north-south district street referred to as “Copernicus” is anticipated in DU 3/4, providing a connection between Ray Road and Point Twenty-Two Boulevard.

• A new north-south district street referred to as “Parc Joule” is anticipated in DU 6s, providing a connection north of Point Twenty-Two Boulevard.

• A north-south district street referred to as “Everton Terrace” is anticipated in DU 5, DU 6 and DU 7, providing a connection between Elliot Road and Ray Road.

• “Gaylord Drive” in DU 2 will now be referred to as a currently unnamed District Street.

In accordance with the initial Master Transportation Plan, the roadway network is designed to encourage multi-modal transportation, including, but not limited to, transit, bicycles, pedestrians, multiple electric vehicle options (MEVOs), including neighborhood electric vehicles (NEVs), electric scooters, and other plug-in electrically powered vehicles.

The transportation network follows the policies and guidelines set forth in the Mesa 2025 Transportation Plan, adopted by the City Council on June 24, 2002. In addition to the General Plan, the City of Mesa has developed several sub-area and neighborhood plans to refine the development policy direction for specific areas of the community. The Mesa Gateway Strategic Development Plan, adopted December 8, 2008, includes Eastmark and has the following strategies:

• Encourage businesses to provide or subsidize transit passes.

• Encourage projects to include covered shelters within project boundaries; include kiosks with information regarding local transit.

• Design all streets to take into consideration the needs of pedestrians and bicyclists, as well as motor vehicles. The plan’s roadway network is supportive of “complete streets” characteristics that provide half of the physical space to the pedestrian realm.

• Include bike and pedestrian paths and/or connectors to existing paths for accessibility.

2.4 Master Transit Plan

Per the initial Master Transportation Plan, a regional transit system is anticipated to be implemented that will be incorporated into Eastmark. The Mesa 2040 Transportation Plan presents future transit improvements for this area. This includes north-south local bus service on Ellsworth Road by Year 2040. This transit corridor will provide direct service to Eastmark and provide connectivity externally. Two long-range alternatives for passenger/commuter rail are provided in the 2040 plan, one of which includes the addition of passenger rail service along the Ellsworth Road alignment. If this alternative comes to fruition, direct rail service may be provided between Eastmark and downtown Mesa, Tempe, Phoenix, and beyond. Once known, the corridors are proposed to be coordinated into the land use planning for the Site.

2.5 Master Bicycle and Urban Trail Circulation Plan

The goal of the community is to encourage alternate travel modes through narrow streets and connected roadway networks. Therefore, the transportation network within Eastmark accommodates and provides continuity to bicycle, pedestrian, transit and other vehicular networks beyond the site boundaries. The local streets and district streets may have separate bike lanes, but the roadway cross sections will be designed to encourage bicycles and vehicles to share the roadway. Bike lanes will be present on all arterial roadways. A multi-use path will be incorporated into Eastmark Great Park and will run the full length of the park. In addition, there will be a multi-use path along the north side of Point Twenty-two Boulevard between Ellsworth Road and Eastmark Great Park.
Figure 2.1  Conceptual Master Street Circulation Plan
3.0 FUTURE ROADWAY NETWORK

3.1 Future Background Traffic Conditions

Future background traffic estimated to be on the major roadways adjacent to the development was obtained from MAG for year 2030 for use in the initial Master Transportation Plan. This was the 20-year design horizon established and maintained by MAG at the time of the original study. MAG uses a capacity constrained traffic model, which contains socioeconomic data in each Traffic Analysis Zone (TAZ), to estimate the volume of traffic on the future regional roadway network. In order to estimate the background traffic adjacent to the development, a unique MAG model run was conducted in September 2007 that excluded any socioeconomic data within the Eastmark boundary.

The current MAG design year horizon and future traffic model is year 2040. Therefore, similar to the August 2014, March 2016, and May 2017 updates, this update considers year 2040 as the ultimate build-out year for the Site. It is important to note that MAG continuously reviews traffic data, travel patterns, and changes in travel modes to update their future traffic projections. Advanced modeling technologies have recently been implemented, resulting in noticeable differences between the 2030 and 2040 background traffic projections from MAG. Many roadway segments are now predicted to carry less background traffic in the 2040 model than what was projected by the 2030 MAG model. In this update to the Master Transportation Plan, Everton Terrace, Parc Joule and Copernicus have been shown in the Figure with no volume to reflect the updated road networks and land uses within the site.

The projected year 2040 traffic volumes as provided by MAG to the City of Mesa are presented in Figure 3.1. The current 2040 MAG model includes socio-economic data and development within the Eastmark Site. However, the socio-economic data was not provided to the City of Mesa or the Eastmark planning team.

In an effort to establish background traffic on the adjacent roadway network without Eastmark site-generated traffic volumes, a calculation was completed to estimate the socio-economic data that MAG likely assumed for the Site based on the initial Master Transportation Plan. Based on the lower background volumes, the level of development on this Site was determined to be relatively low within the MAG model. The assumed background traffic volumes excluding any development on the Eastmark Site are presented in Figure 3.2.
Figure 3.1  MAG Year 2040 Background Traffic Volumes
Figure 3.2 Year 2040 Background Traffic Volumes Excluding Eastmark
4.0 PROJECTED SITE TRAFFIC

4.1 Site Trip Generation

The daily weekday traffic volumes that may be generated by Eastmark were estimated based on the land use values from Table 2.1. The average trip generation rates published in Trip Generation, Eight Edition by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) were used for this analysis, with the exception of the residential land uses. The average trip generation rate used for residential (non-mixed-use) land uses was provided by the Maricopa Association of Governments and was the result of a regional travel survey.

For this update to the Transportation Master Plan, two development scenarios are considered. The “First Life Cycle” scenario represents less dense development plan, with fewer dwelling units in DU’s 1, 5, and 6N and less non-residential development in DU 1. The Full Build Out scenario represents the most conservative case in terms of traffic generation and sets the upper limits for development in those areas that have a potential to be redeveloped.

Table 4.1 shows an approximate volume of site traffic generated by the updated planning concept as of September 2017. It is estimated that the development may generate approximately 213,400 trips per day on an average weekday. Similar to the original Master Transportation Plan, a pass-by trip factor of 35% was used for the commercial land uses in the development. The percentages of pass-by trips for all other land uses are assumed to be negligible. This pass-by trip reduction was applied to the site traffic only and not background traffic; only traffic attracted to, and generated by, the on-site retail land uses were reduced. Background traffic volumes were not reduced but have diversion trips on-site.

### Table 4.1 Eastmark Trip Generation, September 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Land Use</th>
<th>Trip Generation Unit</th>
<th>First Life Cycle</th>
<th>Full Build Out</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total Trip Generation Units</td>
<td>Resultant Total ADT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Density</td>
<td>DU</td>
<td>595</td>
<td>5,339</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium Density</td>
<td>DU</td>
<td>7,075</td>
<td>45,277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Density</td>
<td>DU</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed-Use Residential</td>
<td>DU</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>1,222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office</td>
<td>Floor Area (1000 Sq. Ft)</td>
<td>435</td>
<td>4,972</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>Floor Area (1000 Sq. Ft)</td>
<td>1,495</td>
<td>37,613</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial</td>
<td>Floor Area (1000 Sq. Ft)</td>
<td>7,044</td>
<td>49,099</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Services (Church and Aquatic Center)</td>
<td>Floor Area (1000 Sq. Ft)</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>5,082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civic Space</td>
<td>Employees</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schools</td>
<td>Floor Area (1000 Sq. Ft)</td>
<td>531</td>
<td>5,688</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>Acres</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hotels</td>
<td>Rooms</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>4,350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>159,176</td>
<td>213,399</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The same adjustments for multi-modal traffic volumes were utilized for this update. No reduction was made for bike and pedestrian trips. Internal capture rates vary based on land uses within each DU. Approximately 2.5% of the total trips generated by the development are assumed to use transit. Resultant total average daily traffic (ADT) volumes shown in the table do not match the numbers presented in previous DU transportation studies due to differing internal capture rates between the DU study and the overall master plan study.

### 4.2 Site Trip Distribution

The site trip distribution for Eastmark was initially developed by reviewing regional roadway networks and assumptions of the interaction between the proposed future developments in the surrounding area, in particular Superstition Vistas, Phoenix-Mesa Gateway Airport, and ASU Polytechnic Campus. Residential, office and commercial traffic is estimated to be distributed in each of the four cardinal directions. The assumptions used for the original Master Transportation Plan are still reasonable. Therefore, trip distributions assume 15% of the development trips remain internal and are distributed on the roadway network within the development, and the remaining 85% is assumed to leave the development and be distributed to the external roadway network as shown in Table 4.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 4.2 Trip Distribution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Distribution Percentage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The travel demand forecasting model QRS II was used to estimate total traffic generated by the Site and distribute to the internal and external streets. QRS II is a gravity based transportation model that uses socioeconomic data (population and employment) to generate and distribute trips created by the land uses within the Site. The QRS II model created for Eastmark includes the local roadways as well as the major roadways in order to better assess site traffic distribution. In the August 2014, March 2016, and May 2017 updates to the Transportation Master Plan, the roadway network and transportation analysis zones (TAZ) were modified from the initial model runs to account for the known roadway networks and land uses in the planned DU’s. Likewise, in this update, the TAZ’s, land uses and roadway network have been updated to reflect the most recent development plan. The resultant total site generated traffic for the First Life Cycle scenario is presented in Figure 4.1 while the site generated traffic for the Full Build Out scenario is presented in Figure 4.2.

### 4.3 Year 2040 Total Traffic

For the purposes of this update, the total build-out site traffic is assumed to reflect the known land uses on developed DU’s and anticipated land uses based on the planned build scenarios, either First Life Cycle or Full Build Out. The site build-out traffic was added to the MAG 2040 background traffic (excluding Eastmark) to obtain an estimate of the total traffic volumes on the internal and external roadway networks. Figure 4.3 presents the resultant total traffic for Eastmark in year 2040 in the First Life Cycle scenario, while Figure 4.4 represents the total traffic for Eastmark in the Full Build Out scenario.
Figure 4.1  Site Traffic for Eastmark – First Life Cycle
Figure 4.2  Site Traffic for Eastmark – Full Build Out
Figure 4.3   Year 2040 Total Traffic for Eastmark – First Life Cycle
Figure 4.4  Year 2040 Total Traffic for Eastmark – Full Build Out
5.0 ROADWAY IMPROVEMENT ANALYSIS

5.1 Capacity Analysis

The total build-out daily traffic volumes presented in Figure 4.3 and Figure 4.4 were analyzed for future roadway capacity needs on the internal and external roadways. In accordance with the original Master Transportation Plan, the MAG planning-level urban roadway level of service (LOS) capacities, as modified from the FDOT Q/LOS Handbook, were used as the capacity thresholds for the roadways in the study area. These threshold volumes are presented in Table 5.1. The roadway capacities reflect LOS E thresholds that are typically acceptable in developed urban areas.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Roadway Classification</th>
<th>No. of Lanes</th>
<th>ADT</th>
<th>LOS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minor Collector</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16,000</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major Collector / Arterial</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>43,600</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Principal Arterial</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>65,600</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on the results of the anticipated total build-out traffic presented in Figure 4.3 and Figure 4.4 and on the roadway capacity thresholds presented in Table 5.1, the roadway classifications and lane configurations recommended in the original Master Transportation Plan are still valid. Two-lane local internal roadways are anticipated to provide an internal grid network and connectivity throughout the site, and were modeled in the QRS II capacity model.

- Internal major collector four-lane roadways include: Eastmark Parkway (formerly “Spine East”), Inspirian Parkway (formerly “Spine West”), Warner Road (formerly “Warner North”), and Point Twenty-two Boulevard (formerly “Warner South”).
- District Street (formerly “Gaylord Drive” and “Mesquite Street”), Parc Joule, Everton Terrace, and Copernicus are recommended as 2-lane roadways with left and right-turn lanes at major intersections. These streets may also be constructed as 4-lane roadways with the outside through lane used for right turning traffic.
- The adjacent arterial roadways, including Ray Road, Elliot Road, Ellsworth Road, Signal Butte Road, and Williams Field Road, will be six lanes.

5.2 Intersection Analysis

Peak hour intersection volumes were not developed as part of the Master Transportation Plan. However, an assessment of potential traffic signal locations was conducted to confirm that the major roadways as currently proposed/planned will meet City of Mesa intersection spacing requirements. The anticipated and allowable signalized intersection locations are presented in Figure 5.1.
Figure 5.1  Potential Signalized Intersection Locations

Legend
- Existing signalized intersection
- Potential signalized intersection
- Signalized intersection permitted within this zone
- Signalized intersection not permitted within this zone

Note:
1. Signalized intersections may occur at 1/6 mile or 1/8 mile intersections (except at the 1/4 and 3/4 mile intersections).
2. All dimensions shown are approximate.
3. Background roadway locations shown are conceptual. Final locations may vary.
6.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The Master Transportation Plan and its updates serve as a guide for transportation infrastructure to meet the multi-modal goals of Eastmark. The traffic analysis and resultant anticipated site trip generation for this update are based on the preliminary planning concepts for each individual development unit. The proposed land use concepts for the First Life Cycle and Full Build Out scenarios are reflected in this update along with the roadway networks and access points. Based on these assumptions, Eastmark at full build-out is anticipated to generate roughly 213,400 daily weekday trips in the Full Build Out scenario. This is roughly 71% of the original Master Transportation Plan, which anticipated 300,000 weekday trips. The trip reduction is the result of more concrete anticipated land use planning. Results of the analysis indicate that the roadway network as outlined in the Mesa General Plan will accommodate the traffic generated by Eastmark at full build-out.

6.1 Master Street Circulation Plan Recommendations

The recommendations of the initial Master Transportation Plan are still valid and are repeated herein:

The following arterial-to-arterial intersections are anticipated to include two (2) left turn lanes, one (1) right turn, and three (3) through lanes for each approach:

- Elliot Road and Ellsworth Road
- Elliot Road and Signal Butte Road
- Ellsworth Road and Ray Road
- Signal Butte Road and Ray Road
- Signal Butte Road and Williams Field Road

All intersections that connect a major arterial and a major collector from Eastmark are anticipated to include one (1) left turn lane, one (1) right turn, and three (3) through lanes for the major arterial approaches. The major collector approaches are anticipated to include one (1) left turn lane, one (1) right turn lane, and two (2) through lanes. These intersections include Ellsworth Road and Warner Road, Ellsworth Road and Point Twenty-Two Boulevard, Signal Butte Road and Point Twenty-Two Boulevard, Elliot Road and Eastmark Parkway, and Eastmark Parkway and Signal Butte Road.

The proposed roadway cross sections are presented in Section 10 “Street Standards” of the Community Plan. The design criteria and guidelines related to the design of the roadway facilities are also presented in Section 10 of the Community Plan. All local streets that are connecting to arterials are to be 34-foot wide cross sections.

6.2 Master Transit Plan Recommendations

As the Gateway Area develops, a regional transit system is anticipated to be implemented that serves the area, including bus rapid transit and local bus service. The regional and local transit corridors are anticipated to provide direct service to Eastmark and are proposed to be coordinated into the land use planning for the Site.

6.3 Master Bicycle and Urban Trails Plan Recommendations

Bicyclists will be accommodated on the arterials, collector/district streets and local/neighborhood streets. Urban trails/sidewalks will also be present on roadways and within the Eastmark Great Park. Design criteria and guidelines related to the design of bicycle facilities are presented in Section 10 of the Community Plan.