



Use of Force Recommendation 41

Require Each Individual Involved in Routing Process to Document Steps Taken in Reviewing Use-of-Force Report and State Agreement or Disagreement with Findings



Agenda

- Recommendation Highlights
- Current Process
- Gap Analysis
- Discussion Points
- Proposed Action



Recommendation Highlights


Recommendation 41

- MPD should require that each individual involved in the routing process documents the steps taken in reviewing the use-of-force report, and that each individual states his or her agreement or disagreement with the findings of the investigating supervisor.

The Police Executive Research Forum (PERF)

Current Process

Revision in June 2018 to DPM 2.1.45- Use of Force Reporting Protocols



Gap Analysis

PERF's Recommendation

MPD should require that each individual involved in the routing process documents the steps taken in reviewing the use-of-force report, and that each individual states his or her agreement or disagreement with the findings of the investigating supervisor.

VS

Current Policy

Upon review by Lieutenants, there is documentation provided when forwarding the incident to the next level.

If determined “No issues identified after initial review.”

Provide final comments to the use of force incident and forward to the Training Section in Blue Team.

If determined “Additional Review Required.”

Forward the completed Use of Force Report via Blue Team to the Division Commander.




Discussion Points

*Reasons **for** adopting the recommendation:*

- Requires all supervisors conducting review of incident to document steps taken
- Lieutenants currently concur/not concur with the sergeant's review
- If required, Division Commander makes final determination

*Reasons **against** adopting the recommendation:*

- None



Proposed Action

Continue current process (including *Approved* additional required information in Recommendation 40) requiring 1st line supervisor to gather the necessary evidence/information and 2nd line supervisor to provide a proper evaluation.

Include PERF's recommendation to require 2nd line supervisor agree with or disagree with the initial supervisor's findings.



Discussion